Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 1 of 50

EXHIBIT 15

EXHIBIT 15

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 2 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 13 of 61

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
AND MUTUAL GENERAL
RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual General Release of All Claims (hereinafter "Agreement") documents the settlement of a lawsuit filed in the Los Angeles Superior Court, entitled Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP v. Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc., New Image Media Corp., Marion H. Knight aka Suge Knight, Death Row Records, Inc., Death Row Records, L.L.C., The Row, Inc., Dermot Givens, Kevin Gilliam aka Battlecat, and Does 1 through 100, bearing Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 340196 and related adversary proceeding filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, entitled Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, L.L.P. v. Lydia Harris, et al., Adv. Case No. 06-01802-EC (hereinafter collectively "Lawsuit"). The Lawsuit involved claims arising from the legal representation by Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP (hereinafter "WCCP") of Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc., and New Image Media Corp., (hereinafter collectively "Harris Entities") in connection with claims brought by WCCP on behalf of the Harris Entities against Kevin Gilliam aka Battlečat (hereinalier "Battlecat"), Marion H. Knight aka Suge Knight . (hereinaster "Knight"), Death Row Records (hereinaster "DRR"), Tha Row, Inc., David E. Kenner, David E. Kenner Professional Law Corporation, David E. Kenner a Professional Corporation, The David E. Kenner Trust, Interscope Records, Jimmy Iovine, John T. McClain, Jr., A&M Records, Arista, Artemis, BadBoy, Beyond Records, Def Jam, Done Deal, D P G, Elektra, Hip-O Records, Hoobangin Records, Jive, I-Records, Loud Records, MCA, Polygram, WC11-0000007

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 3 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 14 of 61

N TENEDAL TOTA DEVE OTOSARIDAL

DUULCYARU TUBLAL GIUT

IZZ UUJ

Priority, RCA, S.F.E. Ent., Sick Wit It, TVT Records, The Orchard, Tommy Boy, Universal, Warner Bros. Records, Zomba, et al., and hearing Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC263857 (hereinafter "Underlying Action"). Michael Harris filed a complaint in intervention in the Underlying Action. On or about March 9, 2005, a Judgment was entered in the Underlying Action in favor of Lydia Harris and New Image Media Corp. and against defendants Knight and DRR (Knight and DRR are sometimes referred to as the "Knight Entities"), in the amount of \$107,000,000 (hereinafter the "\$107 Million Judgment").

On or about March 26, 2004, the Los Angeles Superior Court entered its Order Confirming Arbitration Award and Judgment in favor of the Harris Entities and against Battlecat in the sum of \$760,000, together with 10% interest from February 5, 2004 (hereinafter "Battlecat Judgment"). WCCP commenced enforcement actions to collect on the Battlecat Judgment and to date, collected \$18,060.32. Of this amount, \$10,973.17 remains in the Client Trust Account of Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, LLP.

On or about January 17, 2006, the Los Angeles Superior Court entered an Order Granting Preliminary Injunction in Favor of WCCP and Against Defendant Lydia Harris, et al. (the "Injunction").

On or about February 22, 2006, the Los Angeles Superior Court entered an Order Re Discovery Sanctions against Lydia Flarris for the total sum of \$8,400.00 (hereinafter "Discovery Order"). Lydia Harris has paid \$1,800.00 in connection with the Discovery Order.

On or about April 4, 2006, Knight filed for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, bankruptcy case number LA 06-11187-EC. The same day, DRR also filed for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, bankruptcy case number LA 06-11205-EC (hereinafter "Knight Bankruptcy").

WC11-0000007

•

ra . 26

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 4 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 15 of 61

CALTELYAND TREAS LUT ATROPHIAGE

DOOPELLED LAGIST STOR

N O N H

Since entry of the \$107 Million Judgment and the Battlecat Judgment, WCCP has been succeeded in interest for the purposes of the Lawsuit by Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, LLP (hereinafter "WCC") and Clifford H. Pearson. (Clifford H. Pearson and Pearson, Soter, Warshaw & Penny, LLP shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as "Pearson.")

The parties intend by this Agreement to settle any and all claims WCC and Pearson may have as against the Harris Entities related to the Lawsuit or the Underlying Action, the Battlecat Judgment; Discovery Order and Injunction, and all claims the Harris Entities may have as against WCC and Pearson related to the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the Battlecat Judgment, Discovery Order and Injunction.

The parties also intend by this Agreement to settle any and all claims WCC and Pearson may have as against Michael Harris related to the Lawsuit or the Underlying Action, and all claims Michael Harris may have as against WCC and Pearson related to the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, or the \$107 Million Judgment.

PARTIES

This Agreement is reached among the following parties:

- (1) Wasserman, Comden, & Casselman, a limited liability partnership, as successor to Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP, on behalf of itself, and its predecessors, successors, executors, assignees, partners, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them;
- (2) Clifford H. Pearson, an individual, on behalf of himself, and his heirs, executors, assignees, agents, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them, as well as Pearson, Soter, Warshaw & Penny, a limited liability partnership, on behalf of itself,

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 5 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 16 of 61

TRIBOAL TA-AA LUV OTOBAALODI

BUULEYAKU PUSTAL STUP

庭[005

and its predecessors, successors, executors, assignees, partners, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them;

- (3) Lydia Harris, an individual, on behalf of herself, and her heirs, executors, assignces, agents, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them;
- (4) Lifestyle Records, Inc., a corporation, on behalf of itself, and its predecessors, successors, executors, assignees, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them;
- (5) New Image Media Corp., a corporation, on behalf of itself, and its predecessors, successors, executors, assignees, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them; and
- (6) Michael Harris, an individual, on behalf of himself, and his heirs, executors, assignees, agents, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, administrators, and each of them.

MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASE.

Except for the obligations arising under this Agreement and for and in exchange for the consideration described below. WCC and Pearson release the Harris Entities and their heirs, executors, successors, assignees, partners, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, and administrators as to any and all claims WCC and Pearson may have, or may have had, as against them relating to or arising from the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the Battleeat Judgment, Discovery Order and Injunction. Likewise, except for the obligations arising under this Agreement, and for and in exchange for the consideration described below, the Harris Entities release WCC, Pearson, Leonard J. Comden, Steve K. Wasserman, and David B. Casselman, and their predecessors, successors, executors, assignees,

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 6 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 17 of 61

DOORBANGO LADINE DIGE

សា ភេព

partners, agents, officers, directors, heirs, executors, assignees, agents, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, and administrators as to any and all claims the Harris Entities may have, or may have had as against them relating to or arising from the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the Battlecat Judgment, Discovery Order, and Injunction. In providing these mutual releases, WCC and Pearson, on the one hand, and the Harris Entities, on the other hand, fully and forever release, acquit and discharge each other from any and all past, present, and future rights, actions, causes of action, claims, demands, damages, costs, debts, losses, expenses, attorney's fees, penalties, and other compensation of any kind or nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, and whether concealed or hidden, which have accrued in favor of one as against the other by reason of any matter whatsoever prior to the date hereof including without limitation on the intended generality and all encompassing scope of this Agreement, any claims which:

- (1) arise out of or are in any way connected with or related to the transactions, occurrences, events, acts or omissions set forth or facts alleged in the pleadings and other papers on file in the Lawsuit or the Underlying Action; or
- (2) arise out of or are in any way connected with or related to any transactions, occurrences, events, acts or omissions, which took place prior to the date hereof.

Additionally, except for the obligations arising under this Agreement, and for and in exchange for the consideration described below WCC and Pearson release Michael Harris and his belrs, executors, successors, assignees, partners, agents, officers, directors, employees, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, and administrators, as to any and all claims WCC or Pearson may have, or may have had, as against them relating to or arising from the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the Battlecat Judgment, Discovery Order, and Injunction. Likewise, except

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 7 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 18 of 61

OSTITUTOUS TO'AS LUY STORAGIOR!

DUULETAKU TUSTAL DIVE

RD AA 1

for the obligations arising under this Agreement and for and in exchange for the consideration described below, Michael Harris releases WCC, Pearson, Leonard I. Comden, Steve K. Wasserman, and David B. Casselman, and their predecessors, successors, executors, assignees, partners, agents, officers, directors, heirs, executors, assignees, agents, servants, attorneys, insurers, representatives, and administrators as to any and all claims Michael Harris may have, or may have had as against them relating to or arising from the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the Battlecat Judgment, Discovery Order, and Injunction. In providing these mutual releases, WCC and Pearson on the one hand and Michael Harris on the other hand fully and forever release, acquit and discharge each other from any and all past, present, and future rights, actions, causes of action, claims, demands, damages, costs, debts, losses, expenses, attorney's fees, penalties, and other compensation of any kind or nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, and whether concealed or hidden, which have accrued in favor of one as against the other by reason of any matter whatsoever prior to the date hereof including without limitation on the intended generality and all encompassing scope of this Agreement, any claims which:

- (1) arise out of or are in any way connected with or related to the transactions, occurrences, events, acts or omissions set forth or facts alleged in the pleadings and other papers on file in the Lawsuit or the Underlying Action; or
- (2) arise out of or are in any way connected with or related to any transactions, occurrences, events, acts or omissions, which took place prior to the date hereof.

Nothing in the language of this Agreement shall be deemed to revive any claim between the parties which is barred by operation of law as of the time this Agreement is signed.

CONSIDERATION

7236687.1

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 8 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 19 of 61

KAInnor

As consideration for the settlement of the various claims described herein, and as more fully described immediately above, by entering into this Agreement, WCC and Pearson, on the one hand, and the Harris Entities, on the other hand, each waive any and all rights each may have as against the other. Each shall bear his, her, or its own cost of litigation.

Additionally, as consideration for the settlement of the various claims described herein, and as more fully described immediately above, by entering into this Agreement, WCC and Pearson, on the one hand, and Michael Harris, on the other hand, each waive any and all rights each may have as against the other. Each shall bear his, her, or its own cost of litigation.

Additionally, WCC shall receive the following sums on a dollar for dollar pro rata basis;

- 29.75 % of any future recovery received by the Harris Entities from either Knight,

 DRR or their respective bankruptcy cases in connection with the \$107 Million

 Judgment or as the result of the transfer or assignment of the Harris Entities'

 claims in either the Knight or DRR bankruptcy cases to any third party. Except as

 provided in subparagraph (2) immediately below, no money shall be due and

 owing to WCC or Pearson on account of money paid to the Harris Entities in

 connection with the \$107 Million Judgment prior to the filing of the Lawsuit;
- (2) Effective only after collection of the first \$1 Million of future recovery of the \$107 Million Judgment to the Harris Entities from either Knight, DRR or their respective bankruptcy cases, \$49,583.33 for every million dollars of future net money received by the Harris Entities from either Knight, DRR or their respective bankruptcy cases pursuant to the \$107 Million Judgment, until WCC and Pearson have been paid a total of \$297,500 over and above the amount referred to in the

MC11-110000013

7

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 9 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 20 of 61

preceding paragraph. Payments under this sub-division shall also be distributed

on a pro-cata basis.

Funds received by the Harris Entities pursuant to the \$107 Judgment or the Battlecar Judgment shall be deposited into the client trust account of Weinstein, Weiss & Ordubegian LLP. In the event that Weinstein, Weiss & Ordubegian LLP ceases to represent Lydia Harris, said funds shall be deposited into an escrow account agreed upon by the parties.

WCC shall reimburse Weinstein, Weiss & Ordubegian LLP, 29.75% of all of the reasonable legal fees incurred on behalf of the Harris Entities in preserving and defending the \$107 Million Judgment, including but not limited to preserving and defending the Harris Entities' claim in the Knight Entities' bankruptcy proceeding, from the date this Agreement is fully executed, provided, however that such payments shall be made only from the sums received by WCC from the \$107 Million Judgment; and for eash flow purposes only, shall be paid 50% from the first million dollars collected from the Knight Entities, and 50% from the second million dollars collected from the Knight Entities are incurred after the proceeds of the second million dollars collected from the Knight Entities are distributed, such fees shall be paid from sums thereafter collected from the Knight Entities.

To illustrate the foregoing, and as an example only, assume that the total amount received from the Knight Entities' bankruptey is \$5,000,000 and the fees incurred by the Harris Entities in the preservation/defense of the Judgment are \$200,000 since the execution of this Agreement, the funds would be distributed as follows:

As to the \$200,000 Attorney's fees incurred in bankruptcy: \$59,500 would be paid by WCC, paid at the rate of \$29,750 out of the first million and \$29,750 out of the second million dollars. The balance of \$140,500 would be paid by the Harris Entities;

WC)1-0000000 3238687,} Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 10 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 21 of 61

DODERAND TOSTUL STOL

W1010

- WCC and Pearson: \$5 million gross less \$200,000 bankruptcy fees equals \$4.8 million.
 This amount is multiplied by 29.75% which equals \$1,428,000. Plus four payments of \$49,583.33 which equals \$198,333.32 for a net recovery of \$1,626,333.32; and
- Harris: \$5 million less \$200,000 for Attorney's fees, less \$1,626,333.33 to WCC, for a
 net recovery of \$3,173,666.67.
- All distributions of money shall be made to the Harris Entities, WCC and Pearson on a dollar for dollar pro rata basis.

The Harris Entities shall not compromise the \$107 Million Judgment, or enter into any agreement with the Knight Entities relating in any way to the \$107 Million Judgment without providing prior written notice to WCC through its counsel, Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, LLP. Such notice shall be given to WCC prior to the exchange of funds or any other consideration between the Knight Entities and the Harris Entities.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, neither WCC nor Pearson shall have any right to object or interfere with any settlement or compromise of the \$107 Million Judgment or to object or interfere with any sale, transfer or assignment by the Harris Entities to any third party of their claims in either the Knight or DRR bankruptcy cases. WCC and Pearson further agree that upon the closing of a transfer or assignment of the \$107 Million Judgment, in whole or in part, by the Harris Entities to any third party (other than Michael Harris) (a "Transfer/Assignment"), WCC and Pearson shall release and discharge any lien, claim or encumbrance in their favor on or with respect to the \$107 Million Judgment or the portion thereof that is the subject of such Transfer/Assignment (each, a "Release"). WCC and Pearson agree to execute such documents and take all other actions as the Harris Entities reasonably request to effectuate any such Release,

WCC further agrees to provide an index of the Harris Entities' client files and turn over files identified by the Harris Entities within 10 days of such notice. Upon execution of this Agreement, WCC agrees to turn over to Lydia Harris \$7,708.65, which is 70.25% of the money

17505K7 |

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 11 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 22 of 61

nontriugh Louist pink

超四二

Account. The Harris Entities shall waive any and all claims to the remaining \$3,264.52, or 29.75%. Neither WCC not Pearson shall be responsible for any further collection efforts of the Battlecat Judgment, but WCC shall receive 29.75% of all amounts collected on the Battlecat Judgment, net of expenses, but not attorneys' fees. WCC and Pearson hereby release the Harris Entities from any further liability relating to the Discovery Order or the Injunction.

It is a condition hereof and it is the intention of the parties hereto, and each of them, in executing this Agreement, that the same shall be effective as a bar to each and every claim, demand, and cause of action hereinabove specified, and in furtherance of this intention WCC, Pearson, the Harris Entities and Michael Harris hereby expressly waive any and all rights and benefits conferred upon them by the provision of § 1542 of the California Civil Code which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Each party to this Agreement hereby declares that he or she is executing this Agreement after having received advice from his or her legal counsel of record and understands and acknowledges the significance and consequences of this Agreement and of this specific waiver of § 1542 of the California Civil Code.

Subject to the right of the Harris Entities to self, transfer or assign their rights to the \$107

Million Judgment to a third party, as reflected in this Agreement, WCC, Pearson, the Harris

Entities, and Michael Harris represent and warrant to one another that none of them has

WC; 11-8000007 7231687, 1

10

MARL

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 12 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 23 of 61

DUULEYAKU FUSIAL STUI

12 012

heretofore assigned, settled, or transferred, or purported to assign, settle, or transfer, to any other person or entity any claim or other matter herein released and any party who breaches this warranty shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless any other party against who such claim, demand, or action is brought.

Except for the obligations arising under this Agreement, each party to this Agreement shall bear all of his, her or its own costs and attorney's fees incurred in connection with the Lawsuit, the Underlying Action, the \$107 Million Judgment, the Battecat Judgment, the Injunction and the Discovery Order.

If any action or proceeding is brought for the enforcement of this Agreement or any of its provisions, or for a declaration of the rights and duties agreed to herein or because of an alleged dispute, breach, default, or misrepresentation in connection with any provision of this Agreement, the successful or prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees netually incurred and other costs incurred in connection with that action or proceeding, in addition to any other relief to which the party may be entitled.

This Agreement shall not be construed against the party or its representative who drafted this Agreement or any portion hereof.

This Agreement is, and shall be subject to, governed by, and construed and enforced pursuant to the laws of the State of California.

This Agreement is the entire Agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous oral and written agreements and discussions. The Agreement may be amended only upon an amendment in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement. Additionally, each party to this Agreement agrees: (1) that no provision or breach of this Agreement may be waived unless in writing signed by the

WC11-000000

41

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 13 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 24 of 61

UD/12/2001 LD:45 FAA 6108UD/6D!

HUULEVARD PUSTAL SIUP

MATA

party to be charged; and (2) that the waiver of any one provision or breach of this Agreement shall not operate as a waiver of any other provision or breach of this Agreement. It is understood by WCC, Pearson, the Harris Entities, and Michael Harris that this Agreement is made without reliance upon any statement or representation made by the other, apart from what is expressly set forth in this Agreement.

If any term, provision, covenant, or condition of this Agreement is held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of the provisions shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired or invalidated.

WCC, through its counsel of record, shall file a request for dismissal of the Lawsuit with prejudice as to the claims against Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc., and New Image Media Corp. only, within a reasonable amount of time after this Agreement has been fully executed, and any necessary court approval has been obtained (in both state and foderal court). If necessary, WCC and/or Pearson shall also prepare and execute all necessary documents to effectuate the purpose of this Agreement, except that counsel for Lydia Harris shall prepare any necessary documents to be filed in the Knight Bankruptey or DRR proceeding. Lydia Harris shall execute all necessary documents to effectuate the purpose of this Agreement.

Nothing in this Agreement shall prevent WCC from pursuing its claims against Knight, DRR or any other person or entity not released by this Agreement. Neither WCC nor Pearson shall be entitled, however, to pursue the \$107 Million Judgment without the express written consent of the Harris Entities, including, but not limited to, the filing or prosecution of claims in the Knight or DRR bankruptcy cases. Harris shall reasonably cooperate in WCC's prosecution of any remaining unsettled claims from the Lawsuit.

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 14 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 25 of 61

DAGRETUMO FARTUR DEAL

ሺ NT €

The masculine, feminine and neuter gender of any word shall be construed to include each of the other.

This Agreement is binding and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and to their respective successors, heirs, assigns and representatives. This Agreement and any release that may be contained herein are intended to be final and binding between the Parties hereto and are further to be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction between the Parties to this Agreement, and each party expressly relies on the finality of this Agreement as a substantial, material factor inducing that party's execution of this Agreement.

In making this Agreement, no party is admitting the sufficiency of any claims, allegations, defenses, affirmative defenses or any other provisions taken against that party, or the lack of sufficiency of its own claims, allegations, defenses, affirmative defenses or any other provisions taken against any other party.

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which counterparts together shall be deemed to be one instrument.

Each person signing below represents and warrants that he or she has the authority to sign on behalf of each entity on whose behalf he or she signs this Agreement.

	Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, LLP
Dated:	By:
Dated:	By: Clifford H. Pearson, individually, and on
	behalf of Pearson, Soter, Warshaw & Penny, LLP
WC11-0000007	13

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 15 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 26 of 61

The masculine, feminine and neuter gender of any word shall be construed to include each of the other.

This Agreement is binding and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and to their respective successors, heirs, assigns and representatives. This Agreement and any release that may be contained herein are intended to be final and binding between the Parties hereto and are further to be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction between the Parties to this Agreement, and each party expressly relies on the finality of this Agreement as a substantial, material factor inducing that party's execution of this Agreement.

In making this Agreement, no party is admitting the sufficiency of any claims, allegations, defenses, affirmative defenses or any other provisions taken against that party, or the lack of sufficiency of its own claims, allegations, defenses, affirmative defenses or any other provisions taken against any other party.

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which counterparts together shall be deemed to be one instrument.

Bach person signing below represents and warrants that he or she has the authority to sign on behalf of each entity on whose behalf he or she signs this Agreement.

	Wasserman, Comden & Casselman, LLP
Dated;	`By:
Dated: 3 /13	By: Clifford H. Pearson, individually, and on
	behalf of Pearson, Soter, Warshaw & Penny, LLP
WC11-0000007	13

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 16 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 27 of 61

	3 12/07
Daled: 3-12-07	By Lydia Harris Lydia Harris 2 12
	Lifestyle Records, Inc.
Dated: 3-12-07	By: Auto A Millande A State Expites Lydia Harris Mokey Commission, 2009
	New Image Media, Corp.
Dated: 3-1207	By: Lydia Harris Lydia Harris Toylor of Toylor
·	Lydia Hairis Rotari Comming On 2000
	•
Dated:	By: Michael Harris
	transfer transfer

WC11-0700007 3230687.1

14

LH.

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 17 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM	Doc 160-4 Exhib	Filed it I - K	01/15/13 Page 28	Entered of 61	01/15/13	17:28:24	De
		תשעו, גזירותו	LADIVE DIAL			កោ nTP	
		٠	-				
		,				, j	
,			٠.				
		•	•	•			
	*						
			•				
Dated:		Ву:		,	•		
,			Lydia Harris			*	
			•				
,		Lifes	style Records,	Inc.			
				•			
Dated:		Ву:					
			Lydia Harcis				
·		**					
		New	Image Media,	Corp.			
	•						
Dated:	•	Ву:	•				
·		- 4.	Lydia Harris			·····	
				,			
	,						,
	•		·			•	•
	,				•		
•		•	, /	· 6/5		-	
Dated: _3~/3-07		Ву:	1 Cinch	Bear.	<u>~~</u>		
			Michael Harri	S			
•			A		7	•	
			·	le Brown	Z. Z.	a	
•			HIV	in Brodi	J Signio	rs for	
. '			M_{i}	chael H.	arris a	s Your	W.
•			- F	At Ho.	rhey a	15	
					/		
		•	•	•			
						-	
. ·			•		•		
•							

WC 11-0000007 3231687,1

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 18 of 50

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: By: Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. By: Steven M. Goldberg	marke despessions	ክስስነቱ። ተውደሱ	rudial diur	闭 0 1 2
Dated: By: Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.				
Dated:By: Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. By:			•	
Dated: By: Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.				,
Dated: By: Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.				
Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.	· APPROVED AS TO FOR	M AND CONT	ENT:	•
Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:		•	•	
Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.				
Peter Q. Ezzell Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp.	Datada			
Nancy E. Lucas Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. By: Dated: By:	Dated:	Ву: _	B.I.O.B.	
Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, L.L.P. Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. By: Dated: By:				
Attorneys for Plaintiff Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP Dated: By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:	•			
Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson, LLP Dated: By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:	•		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
Dated: By: Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:	•	•	Wasserman, Comden, Casselman &	
Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:			Pearson, LLP	٠
Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:	•			
Sharon Zemel Weiss Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:	Dated:	Řν:	•	
Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP Attorneys for Defendants Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:			Sharon Zemel Weiss	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lydia Harris, Lifestyle Records, Inc. and New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:		ī	Weinstein Weiss & Ordubegian LLP	
New Image Media, Corp. Dated: By:				
Dated: By:			Lydia Harris, Litestyle Records, Inc. and	4
			new intage media, corp.	
	1	F . 1		
	Dated:	, D."		
	La particular de la constantina della constantin	ву; _	Stavan M. Caldham	•
			Russ, August & Kabat Attorneys for Michael Harris	

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 19 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 160-4 Filed 01/15/13 Entered 01/15/13 17:28:24 Desc Exhibit I - K Page 30 of 61

BUULEYARD PUSIAL SIUP

m nta

	•
•	•
APIE 20. THE SEPTEMBER PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE SEPTEMBER ADDRESS OF THE S	
CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE AC	Knowledgment
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	的公司运动会过程,不是不是不是不是一个,他们就是这个人的人,但是这个人的人,但是不是一个人的人。
Distance (C-0)	
State of California	
County of LOS Angeles	
On March 12, 2007 before me, De	enise Wolff, Wotary Public.
personally appeared Alvin F	the state of cure forth mun tribe identification in the state of
personally appeared / / / / / / / /	Namo(s) u/ Signer(s)
	🖰 personally known to me
DENISE WOLFF	(or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence)
COMM. #1482301	to be the person(s) whose name(s)(is/are subscribed to the
LOS ANGELES COUNTY INVESTMENT OF THE PROPERTY	within instrument and acknowledged to me that
	(he/kke/they executed the same in(his/her/their authorized
	capacity(les), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the
	instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of
·	which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
t .	WITNESS my hand and official seal,
	1.00
Place Volny Son Ahovo	Stonniuro at Natury Public !
OP	TIONAL Signature of relating Public 7:
Though the information below is not required by law.	If may prove veluable to persons relying on the document reallschment of this form to another document.
Description of Attached Document	
Title or Type of Document: <u>Settlement</u>	Agreement And Mutual General
Relance OF All	Craims.
Document Dale: Signed On Marc	h 12,7007 Number of Pages: 10
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: Leanor	d J. Comden, Clifford H. Pearson,
Lychia Harris (three time	nes), Peter Q. EZZEll, Nancy E. Lucas, Steven M. Goldberg.
Sharon Zemel Weiss and	Steven M. Goldberg
Signer's Name: Alvin Brown	Signer's Name:
Corporate Officer — Title(s):	D Individual
7 Producer Cilibrated 110	CF Corporate Officer — Title(s):
J Attomey in Fact ・ と 回声 同心 自治	17 Attorney in Fairt
J Trustee Top of founds in	Datable Datable
Guardian or Conservator	(i) Guardian or Conservator
FOLHer: POWER OF	Ci Other;
Attorney	
Signer Is Representing: Which are Harris	Signer is Flepresenting:
13 V C = FVC34** L TYCLE F L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	

EXHIBIT 16

EXHIBIT 16

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 51

AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

This Agreement and Mutual Release (the "AGREEMENT") is made effective as of February 6, 2008, by and between Richard K. Diamond, as Chapter 11 Trustee for the bankruptcy estate of Marion "Suge" Knight (the "KNIGHT TRUSTEE" and the "KNIGHT ESTATE") and R. Todd Neilson, as Chapter 11 Trustee for the bankruptcy estate of Death Row Records, Inc. (the "DEATH ROW TRUSTEE" and the "DEATH ROW ESTATE", respectively) (collectively the "TRUSTEES" and the "ESTATES", respectively), on the one hand; and LYDIA Harris ("LYDIA") and Michael Ray Harris ("MICHAEL") (collectively "M & L HARRIS"), Conquest Media Group, LLC ("CONQUEST") and any and all of their assignees or successors (CONQUEST and M & L HARRIS collectively are referred to hereinafter collectively as "CONQUEST/HARRIS") and Helen R. Frazer as Chapter 7 trustee for LYDIA (the "HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE"), on the other hand. The parties are sometimes hereafter referred to collectively as the "PARTIES" or each individually as a "PARTY".

RECITALS

This AGREEMENT is entered into with reference to the following facts:

- A. On or about April 4, 2006, Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr. ("KNIGHT") filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "BANKRUPTCY CODE"), which case is currently pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California (the "BANKRUPTCY COURT"), Los Angeles Division, bearing case number 02:bk:06-11187-VZ (the "KNIGHT CASE"). Richard K. Diamond serves as the Chapter 11 Trustee for the estate of the KNIGHT CASE.
- B. On or about April 4, 2006, Death Row Records, Inc. ("DEATH ROW") filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, which case is currently pending before the Los Angeles Division of the BANKRUPTCY COURT, bearing case number 02:bk:06-11205-VZ (the "DEATH ROW CASE"). R. Todd Neilson serves as the Chapter 11 Trustee for the estate of the DEATH ROW CASE.
- C. On or about February 26, 2002, LYDIA filed a complaint before the California Superior Court in Los Angeles against DEATH ROW and KNIGHT, commencing case no. BC 268857 (the "LAWSUIT"). In the LAWSUIT, LYDIA contended, among other things, that she owned 50% of an entity known as Godfather Entertainment, the parent company to Death Row Records, a company different from DEATH ROW, that DEATH ROW and KNIGHT had defrauded her of the prospective economic benefits of her interest in DEATH ROW, and that DEATH ROW and KNIGHT thereafter slandered her (collectively the "KNIGHT/DRR CLAIMS"). The Firm of Wasserman, Comden & Castleman, LLP (the "WASSERMAN FIRM") at all relevant times through approximately April or May 2005 represented LYDIA in the LAWSUIT.
- D. On or about March 9, 2005, the Superior Court entered a \$107,000,000 judgment as a terminating sanction jointly against both DEATH ROW and KNIGHT (the "JUDGMENT"). The JUDGMENT consists of compensatory damages of \$45 million of economic damages, \$2 million of non-economic damages and \$60 million of punitive damages. No appeal was taken from the JUDGMENT.

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 21 of 51

- E. On or about May 17, 1996 ("LYDIA'S PETITION DATE"), LYDIA filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE before the San Fernando Valley Division of the BANKRUPTCY COURT, which was assigned case no. 01:bk:96-15521-AG (the "HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE" and the "HARRIS ESTATE").
- F. The HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE was filed approximately 6 years prior to the filing of the LAWSUIT, and LYDIA did not list the KNIGHT/DRR CLAIMS on her bankruptcy schedules. The HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE later discovered that the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE may have rights in and to the JUDGMENT at which time, the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE was reappointed as trustee of the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE, which was thereafter reassigned to Bankruptcy Judge Geraldine Mund in July 2007.
- G. M & L HARRIS are divorced, however, the family law court in Monterey has jurisdiction over the M & L HARRIS divorce case with respect to property issues still in dispute. The family law court entered a judgment in the family law matter declaring the JUDGMENT to be community property; however the issue of the allocation of the JUDGMENT between M & L HARRIS has not yet been adjudicated.
- H. On or about October 19, 2006, LYDIA filed a proof of claim in the DEATH ROW CASE in the sum of \$107 million based on the JUDGMENT, which was assigned claim no. 23 ("LYDIA'S DEATH ROW POC"). On or about October 19, 2006, LYDIA filed a proof of claim in the KNIGHT CASE in the sum of \$107 million, which was assigned claim no. 16 ("LYDIA'S KNIGHT POC"). LYDIA'S DEATH ROW POC and LYDIA'S KNIGHT POC shall be referred to hereinafter collectively as "LYDIA'S POCS."
- I. On or about May 4, 2006, MICHAEL filed a proof of claim in the DEATH ROW CASE in the sum of \$117,318,631.60 based on the JUDGMENT, which was assigned claim no. 3 (the "MICHAEL'S DEATH ROW POC"). On or about May 4, 2006, MICHAEL filed a proof of claim in the KNIGHT CASE in the sum of \$117,318,631.60, which was assigned claim no.3 ("MICHAEL'S KNIGHT POC"). MICHAEL'S DEATH ROW POC and MICHAEL'S KNIGHT POC shall be referred to hereinafter collectively as "MICHAEL'S POCS." LYDIA'S POCS and MICHAEL'S POCS shall be referred to hereinafter collectively as the "HARRIS POCS."
- J. On or about October 31, 2006, the WASSERMAN FIRM filed a proof of claim against the DEATH ROW ESTATE in the sum of \$60,418,315.00, which was assigned claim no. 38 (the "WASSERMAN DEATH ROW POC"). On or about October 31, 2006, the WASSERMAN FIRM filed a proof of claim against the KNIGHT ESTATE in the sum of \$60,418,315.00, which was assigned claim no. 34 (the "WASSERMAN KNIGHT POC"). The WASSERMAN DEATH ROW POC and the WASSERMAN KNIGHT POC shall be referred to hereinafter collectively as the "WASSERMAN POCS."
- K. On or about June 6, 2006, KNIGHT as Debtor-In-Possession and DEATH ROW as Debtor-in-Possession jointly filed a complaint against M & L HARRIS before the BANKRUPTCY COURT in the KNIGHT CASE, commencing adversary proceeding no. 02:bk:06-AP-01660-VZ (the "ADVERSARY PROCEEDING"). In the ADVERSARY PROCEEDING, KNIGHT and DEATH ROW sought to, among other things: (1) declare that the JUDGMENT had been compromised and fully resolved by one of several alternative

C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K. McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 22 of 51

settlements; (2) disallow or reduce the HARRIS POCS under various theories, including judicial estoppel; (3) subordinate the compensatory damage component of the JUDGMENT relating to LYDIA's ownership in DEATH ROW pursuant to section 506(c) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE; (4) subordinate the punitive damages component of the JUDGMENT as a penalty pursuant to section 726(a)(4) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE; (5) avoid and recover a \$1 million payment made under the JUDGMENT as a preference pursuant to section 547 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE and as a fraudulent conveyance pursuant to sections 544 and 548 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE; and (6) disallow the HARRIS POCS pursuant to section 502(d) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE. M & L HARRIS filed a motion to dismiss the ADVERSARY PROCEEDING which resulted in the BANKRUPTCY COURT abstaining from ruling on certain state law claims for relief, granting dismissal with leave to amend other claims, and staying all claims pending before the BANKRUPTCY COURT.

- L. On or about July 3, 2006, M & L HARRIS filed a complaint against KNIGHT commencing adversary proceeding no. 02-bk:06-AP-01809-VZ, seeking to determine that the JUDGMENT represents a non-dischargeable debt under section 523 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE (the "HARRIS 523 PROCEEDING"). Thereafter, the BANKRUPTCY COURT stayed the HARRIS 523 PROCEEDING to track the ADVERSARY PROCEEDING.
- M. M & L HARRIS state that they assigned the JUDGMENT to CONQUEST, and M & L HARRIS each filed notices of the assignment of the HARRIS POCS in both of the Cases to CONQUEST and filed Notice of the Assignment of the JUDGMENT in the Los Angeles Superior Court case.
 - N. The TRUSTEES substituted into the Adversary Proceeding as co-plaintiffs.
- O. In or about August 2007, the BANKRUPTCY COURT ordered the PARTIES to participate in mediation, and the PARTIES since then have participated in numerous mediation sessions before United States Bankruptcy Judge Mitchel R. Goldberg.
- P. In order to eliminate the need for further litigation costs, the PARTIES stipulated and stated on the record on February 6, 2008 before the Honorable Mitchell R. Goldberg terms and conditions of settlement in order to settle completely and forever all disputes, claims, actions, causes of action, demands, damages and liabilities between them. The PARTES set forth in this AGREEMENT the formal terms of their settlement.
- Q. NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, mutual obligations and undertakings set forth herein and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the PARTIES agree as follows:
- 1. <u>Recitals</u>: The Recitals are intended to be and are a part of the AGREEMENT and are incorporated herein.
 - 2. Settlement Effective Date and Approval Process:
- a. After execution of this AGREEMENT, the TRUSTEES and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE shall each file motions seeking entry of orders in their respective cases approving the AGREEMENT (collectively the "Approval Orders"). Each of the

C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K, McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 23 of 51

PARTIES shall fully and actively support the respective motions for entry of the Approval Orders.

b. The effective date of this AGREEMENT (the "Effective Date") shall mean the first day that is not less than eleven calendar days following the date of entry of the last of the Approval Orders to be entered that is not a Saturday, Sunday or legal holiday as defined in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9006 (a "Business Day"). If an appeal is taken and a stay issued, the Effective Date shall be the first Business day following the date on which the last of the Approval Orders to be entered becomes final, i.e., the date on which no further appeals or other review of the Approval Orders can be taken. In the event that the TRUSTEES or the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE are unable to obtain the entry of Approval Orders in their respective cases, (a) the AGREEMENT shall automatically be deemed void *ab initio*, and (b) all rights, claims and defenses shall be preserved as they existed on February 6, 2008, and (c) the PARTIES reserve and retain all rights, claims and/or defenses each of them might have had prior to entry into this AGREEMENT.

3. Terms of Settlement:

- a. <u>HARRIS Allowed Claim</u>. The HARRIS POCS will be allowed in both the KNIGHT CASE and the DEATH ROW CASE as general unsecured claims in the amount of \$30 million (collectively the "HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM") and subordinated claims in the amount of \$15 million at the priority level provided in section 726(a)(4) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE (collectively the "HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM"). Any claim of CONQUEST/HARRIS in excess of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM and the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM is disallowed in its entirety.
- b. <u>Limitation on Distributions on Account of HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM.</u> For the purpose of this settlement, references to "distributions" are to the total distributions from both the DEATH ROW ESTATE and the KNIGHT ESTATE, whether or not the Cases later are substantively consolidated. If the Cases are not substantively consolidated, the allocation of distributions from the ESTATES on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM, as provided herein, will be coordinated to assure that CONQUEST/HARRIS receives the same economic value as contemplated herein.
- i. The HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 1) will share pari passu with all other allowed general unsecured claims, to the extent of the first \$10 million of distributions to holders of all general unsecured claims ("PHASE 1 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS"), provided, however, that in no event shall distributions on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 1) exceed 50% of PHASE 1 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS; and provided, further, that notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, distributions on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 1) will not exceed \$3.5 million.
- ii. To the extent of distributions to general unsecured claims in excess of \$10 million up to \$20 million ("PHASE 2 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS"), the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 2) shall share pari passu with all other allowed general unsecured claims (reduced, in each case, by the amount of distributions received on account of PHASE 1 UNSECURED CLAIMS DISTRIBUTIONS); provided,

C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K. McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 51

however, that in no event shall distributions on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 2) exceed 50% of PHASE 2 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS, and provided, further, that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, until all other allowed general unsecured claims have been paid in full, not including surplus interest, the distribution on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 2) will not exceed \$2 million in addition to the distribution applicable to the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 1).

iii. To the extent of distributions to general unsecured claims in excess of \$20 million ("Phase 3 Unsecured Claim Distributions"), the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 3) shall share distributions pari passu with all other allowed general unsecured claims (reduced, in each case, by the amount of distributions received on account of PHASE 1 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS and PHASE 2 UNSECURED CLAIM DISTRIBUTIONS), provided, however, that in no event shall distributions on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 3) exceed 50% the Phase 3 Unsecured Claim Distributions. When all other allowed general unsecured claims have been paid in full, not including pre-petition or post-petition interest, the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (Phase 3) shall receive 100% (or shall share pari passu in the event of other similarly negotiated claims) of the remaining Phase 3 Unsecured Claim Distributions until the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM are paid in full (exclusive of surplus interest).

iv. The HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM shall be paid pursuant to the priority afforded by § 726(a)(4) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE.

- c. Wasserman POCS. CONQUEST/HARRIS will have responsibility for informing the WASSERMAN FIRM of the settlement. Unless the WASSERMAN FIRM consents to a distribution agreement with CONQUEST/HARRIS and withdraws the Wasserman POCS, CONQUEST/HARRIS, the TRUSTEES and/or the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE may file an objection to the Wasserman POCS. The Wasserman POCS and any other claim filed by the WASSERMAN FIRM against either of the ESTATES shall either be disallowed in their entirety or, if the Court enters an order allowing the Wasserman POCS, any distribution thereon shall be the responsibility of CONQUEST/HARRIS, and CONQUEST/HARRIS agrees to indemnify the ESTATES and the TRUSTEES against any claims by the WASSERMAN FIRM for which the ESTATES would otherwise be responsible upon entry of a Court order to that effect. Any distribution from the ESTATES due the WASSERMAN FIRM shall be credited against the distributions due CONQUEST/HARRIS pursuant to this AGREEMENT.
- d. Other Related Proofs of Claim. CONQUEST/HARRIS will have responsibility for any amounts due Mark Friedman and any other person or entity whose claim is based upon, derivative of or measured by the JUDGMENT (including without limitation current and former counsel for M & L HARRIS and CONQUEST and any purported assignment of the JUDGMENT) (collectively "Friedman") which shall be payable, if at all, from their respective shares of the proceeds of the claims and JUDGMENT. CONQUEST/HARRIS agrees to indemnify the ESTATES and the TRUSTEES against any claims by any such party for which the ESTATES would otherwise be responsible upon entry of a Court order to that effect. Any distributions from the ESTATES due Friedman shall be credited against the amounts due CONQUEST/HARRIS pursuant to this AGREEMENT.

C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K. McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION,DOC

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 51

- e. <u>Avoidance of Liens</u>. If there are any judgment or other liens on property of either or both of the ESTATES in favor of CONQUEST/HARRIS, the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, Friedman or the WASSERMAN FIRM (or any of their successors or assignees), such liens are deemed avoided. CONQUEST/HARRIS and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE agree that they will not, sell, transfer, assign or encumber, in whole or in part, the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM or the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM unless agreed upon in writing by all three of them and the TRUSTEES, or by order of the Court.
- f. Rights of HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE. The HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE will promptly seek approval of the settlement as set forth herein by the BANKRUPTCY COURT in the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE. The HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE will receive such portion of the distribution on account of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM (and the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM) as set forth above as shall be independently agreed by the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE and CONQUEST/HARRIS. The Harris Chapter 7 Estate will have no independent claim in either of the Cases, although it is acknowledged that the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE shall remain a party in interest in both cases.
- g. <u>Dismissal of 523 Claims against KNIGHT</u>. Within 15-business day after the Effective Date, M & L HARRIS agree to dismiss with prejudice the HARRIS 523 PROCEEDING.
- h. <u>No Credit Bidding for HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM</u>. Neither the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM nor the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM may be used by CONQUEST/HARRIS as part of the consideration for any bid for or acquisition of any of the assets of either or both of the ESTATES.
- 4. <u>Dismissal of the Adversary Proceeding</u>. Within 15 business days after the Effective Date, the ADVERSARY PROCEEDING will be dismissed with prejudice by the parties thereto, with said parties to bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.
- Right to Object to Claims. CONQUEST/HARRIS, or their successors or assignees, shall have the right to object to other claims and oppose any proposed settlement of other proofs of claims. CONQUEST/HARRIS (including whoever among M & L HARRIS, CONQUEST, any successor or assignee is then the holder of the HARRIS POCS) shall vote affirmatively for any plan of reorganization or plan of liquidation that provides for the treatment of the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM and the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM as provided in this AGREEMENT; provided, however that M & L HARRIS may vote against any plan of reorganization under which pre-petition holders of equity in the DEATH ROW CASE or the KNIGHT CASE retain or receive any interest in either Estate on account of such pre-petition equity before the HARRIS ALLOWED CLAIM and the HARRIS SUBORDINATED CLAIM have been paid in full. For purposes of this paragraph, the retention by KNIGHT or DEATH ROW of the following shall not relieve M & L HARRIS of their obligation to vote to accept the plans: (a) exempt property; (b) that portion of the post-petition income described under that certain Order (1) on Motion of Child Support Services Department Interstate Division to Dismiss Debtor's Chapter 11 Case; and (2) Approving Settlement Stated on Record entered in the KNIGHT CASE on or about November 28, 2007; (c) property abandoned by either of the

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 51

TRUSTEES; (d) claims sold by the KNIGHT TRUSTEE or the DEATH ROW TRUSTEE on or before April 4, 2008 with Court approval; and/or (e) the proceeds of any of the above.

6. Representations. CONQUEST/HARRIS represent and warrant that:

- a. Subject to paragraph 8 below, CONQUEST holds all right, title and interest in the HARRIS POCS and the JUDGMENT subject to the Assignment and Assumption Agreement between CONQUEST and LYDIA dated March 13, 2007, and the Assignment and Assumption Agreement between CONQUEST and MICHAEL dated March 13, 2007, and the agreement between CONQUEST, LYDIA and MICHAEL entered on February 5, 2008 which, includes, among other things a reservation of rights between MICHAEL, LYDIA and CONQUEST.
- b. each of the CONQUEST/HARRIS parties has full authority to enter into this AGREEMENT;
- c. there are no liens or claims against the HARRIS POCS or the JUDGMENT, except for those held by the WASSERMAN FIRM, Mark Friedman, and current and prior counsel for M & L HARRIS and CONQUEST, all of which will be deemed satisfied as against both of the ESTATES under the terms of this AGREEMENT; and
- d. it is not necessary for M & L HARRIS to obtain approval of this AGREEMENT from the Monterey County Superior Court in the M & L HARRIS marital dissolution proceeding bearing case no. DR 43369 (the "MARITAL DISSOLUTION ACTION").
- 7. <u>Signatures</u>. The signatures of all PARTIES to this AGREEMENT, including the signatures of M & L HARRIS, shall be notarized.

8. Future Assignments.

- a. Notwithstanding any provisions in any claim assignment agreements between M & L HARRIS and CONQUEST, in the event of any reversion of the HARRIS POCS and/or the JUDGMENT from CONQUEST (or its successor or assignee) to M & L HARRIS or either of them, M & L HARRIS will be deemed to be successors to CONQUEST and will be bound in the same manner as CONQUEST pursuant to the terms of this AGREEMENT.
- b. In the event that the court in the MARITAL DISSOLUTION ACTION has any jurisdiction to determine the allocation between M & L HARRIS of any distributions on account of the HARRIS POCS from the ESTATES pursuant to this AGREEMENT, M & L HARRIS agree that M & L HARRIS shall have sole responsibility to obtain approval of that allocation, and that the TRUSTEES and the ESTATES shall not have any obligations in that regard. M & L HARRIS further agree that this AGREEMENT is fully enforceable and binding regardless of any possible requirement that said court in the marital

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 51

dissolution action allocate said distributions or otherwise approve this AGREEMENT in any manner whatsoever.

9. Releases:

- a. The KNIGHT TRUSTEE's Release. In consideration of the terms and provisions of and subject to this AGREEMENT, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged by the execution of this AGREEMENT, as of the Effective Date, the KNIGHT TRUSTEE, on behalf of the KNIGHT ESTATE, does hereby fully and forever relieve, release, and discharge CONQUEST/HARRIS, the HARRIS ESTATE, and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, and any and all representatives thereof, of any and all debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, assertions, contentions, arbitrations, promises, acts, contracts, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, claims, damages, actions, causes of actions, claims for relief, and/or lawsuits, in law or in equity that were made or could have been made in or in response to the Adversary Proceeding, from the beginning of time through the Effective Date of this AGREEMENT, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent.
- b. The DEATH ROW TRUSTEE's Release. In consideration of the terms and provisions of and subject to this AGREEMENT, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged by the execution of this AGREEMENT, as of the Effective Date, the DEATH ROW TRUSTEE, on behalf of the DEATH ROW ESTATE, does hereby fully and forever relieve, release, and discharge CONQUEST/HARRIS, the HARRIS ESTATE and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, and any and all representatives thereof, of any and all debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, assertions, contentions, arbitrations, promises, acts, contracts, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, claims, damages, actions, causes of actions, claims for relief, and/or lawsuits, in law or in equity that were made or could have been made in or in response to the Adversary Proceeding, from the beginning of time through the Effective Date of this AGREEMENT, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent. The release hereby granted is effective only as of the Effective Date, and expressly subject to the term provisions and conditions stated in this AGREEMENT, and shall not be effective until each of those conditions is satisfied.
- c. The CONQUEST/HARRIS Releases. In consideration of the terms and provisions of and subject to this AGREEMENT, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged by the execution of this AGREEMENT, as of the Effective Date, CONQUEST/HARRIS does hereby fully and forever relieve, release, and discharge the DEATH ROW TRUSTEE, the DEATH ROW ESTATE, the KNIGHT TRUSTEE, the KNIGHT ESTATE, the HARRIS ESTATE and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE, and any and all representatives thereof, of any and all debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, assertions, contentions, arbitrations, promises, acts, contracts, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, claims, damages, actions, causes of actions, claims for relief, and/or lawsuits, in law or in equity, from the beginning of time through the Effective Date of this AGREEMENT, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent.
- d. <u>The HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE's Release</u>. In consideration of the terms and provisions of and subject to this AGREEMENT, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged by the execution of this AGREEMENT, as of the Effective Date, the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE does hereby fully and forever relieve, release, and discharge C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K. McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC

8

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 51

the DEATH ROW TRUSTEE, the DEATH ROW ESTATE, the KNIGHT TRUSTEE and the KNIGHT ESTATE, and any and all representatives thereof, of any and all debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, assertions, contentions, arbitrations, promises, acts, contracts, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, claims, damages, actions, causes of actions, claims for relief, and/or lawsuits, in law or in equity, from the beginning of time through the Effective Date of this AGREEMENT, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, fixed or contingent.

- e. <u>Effect of Releases</u>. The releases granted above in sub-paragraphs 9(a) through (d) are effective only as of the Effective Date, and expressly subject to the term provisions and conditions stated in this AGREEMENT, and shall not be effective until each of those conditions is satisfied.
- f. <u>General Release</u>: The PARTIES expressly waive any and all rights under Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, or any other federal or state statutory or common law rights or rules similar to Section 1542. Section 1542 provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

The PARTIES expressly waive and release any right or benefit which they have or may have under Section 1542, or any similar law or rule of any jurisdiction, to the full extent that they may waive all such right and benefits pertaining to the matters released in this AGREEMENT. In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, the PARTIES acknowledge that they are aware that they may subsequently discover claims presently unknown or unsuspected, or facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true with respect to the matters released in this AGREEMENT. Nevertheless, it is the intention of each PARTY to this AGREEMENT, through this AGREEMENT, and with the advice of counsel, fully, finally, and forever to settle and release all such matters and all such claims relative to these matters which do now exist, may exist, or previously have existed between the PARTIES. In furtherance of such intention, the releases given by this AGREEMENT shall be and remain in effect as full and complete releases of such matters notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional different claims or facts relative to such matters.

- 10. Continuing Jurisdiction of the BANKRUPTCY COURT: This AGREEMENT is subject to and contingent upon the approval by the BANKRUPTCY COURT in the DEATH ROW CASE, the KNIGHT CASE, and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 CASE. The BANKRUPTCY COURT shall have exclusive jurisdiction to determine as a core proceeding any dispute or controversy with respect to the interpretation or enforcement of this AGREEMENT.
- 11. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>: The PARTIES to this AGREEMENT agree to bear all of their own attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with the Adversary Proceeding and the negotiation, preparation, execution, delivery, and performance of this AGREEMENT.

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 51

- 12. <u>Disputes</u>: In the event any PARTY to this AGREEMENT makes a claim or raises a defense against the other PARTY involving the interpretation or enforcement of this AGREEMENT and/or the obligations hereunder, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs incurred in enforcing this AGREEMENT.
- 13. <u>Severability</u>: If any paragraph, term, or provision of this AGREEMENT shall be held or determined to be unenforceable by a court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, the same shall be deemed severable from this AGREEMENT and the balance of this AGREEMENT shall continue in full force and effect. The PARTIES agree that if such paragraph, term, or provision is deemed invalid as written, it shall be deemed valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.
- 14. Entire Agreement: This AGREEMENT, with its Exhibits, constitutes a single, integrated written contract expressing the entire agreement of the PARTIES hereto concerning subject matter hereof. No covenants, agreements, representations, or warranties of any kind whatsoever have been made by any PARTY to this AGREEMENT, except as specifically set forth herein. All prior agreements, discussions, and negotiations, whether oral or written, have been and are merged and integrated into, and are entirely superseded by this AGREEMENT.
- 15. <u>Joint Preparation</u>: The PARTIES agree that this AGREEMENT shall be deemed to have been prepared by all of the PARTIES jointly, and no ambiguity shall be resolved against any PARTY on the premise that it was responsible for drafting this AGREEMENT, in whole or in part.
- 16. <u>Representations and Warranties</u>: The PARTIES hereto represent and warrant that each signatory hereto has the full right and authority to enter into this AGREEMENT and bind the PARTY on whose behalf he or it has executed this AGREEMENT, except for constraints imposed upon the TRUSTEES and the HARRIS CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE by the BANKRUPTCY CODE.
- 17. Binding Agreement: This AGREEMENT shall bind and shall inure to the benefit of successors and assigns of each PARTY. With respect to each of the individual PARTIES, this AGREEMENT shall also bind and inure to the benefit of his or her heirs and assigns. With respect to each of the entity PARTIES, this AGREEMENT shall also bind and inure to the benefit of any parent, affiliate, predecessor-in-interest, successor-in-interest, or assign. With respect to each of the PARTIES that are bankruptcy trustees, this AGREEMENT shall also inure to the benefit of and bind any successor or assignee, whether by sale, assignment, transfer under a plan of reorganization or conversation of the DEATH ROW CASE and/or the KNIGHT CASE to a Chapter 7 case under the BANKRUPTCY CODE, or otherwise.
- 18. <u>Governing Law</u>: This AGREEMENT shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of California and any disputes arising out of this AGREEMENT shall be brought only in BANKRUPTCY COURT, which shall have exclusive jurisdiction of any and all such disputes as a core matter.
- 19. <u>Counterparts</u>: This AGREEMENT may be executed and delivered in two or more counterparts, each of which, when so executed and delivered, shall be an original, but

C:\Documents and Settings\Patrick K. McClellan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKB0\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 31 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 51

such counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument and agreement. Facsimile signatures may be used and shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes.

THE PARTIES TO THIS AGREEMENT FURTHER STATE THAT THEY HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT, THAT IT HAS BEEN FULLY EXPLAINED TO THEM BY THEIR ATTORNEYS, THAT THEY FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS FULL AND BINDING EFFECT, THAT THE ONLY PROMISES MADE TO THEM TO INDUCE THEM TO SIGN THIS AGREEMENT ARE THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT THEY ARE SIGNING THIS AGREEMENT VOLUNTARILY.

IN WITNESS OF THIS AGREEMENT, the PARTIES and their respective counsel have approved and executed this AGREEMENT on the dates set forth opposite their respective signatures.

CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE MARION "SUGE" KNIGHT ESTATE
By: Richard K. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee
of the Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr. Estate
CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE DEATH ROW RECORDS, INC. ESTATE
By:R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Death Row Records, Inc. Estate
LYDIA HARRIS
Lydia Harris
MICHAEL RAY HARRIS
Michael Ray Harris

C:\Documents and Settings\RKD\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK6\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION_v3.DOC11

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 32 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 51

such counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument and agreement. Facsimile signatures may be used and shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes.

THE PARTIES TO THIS AGREEMENT FURTHER STATE THAT THEY HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT, THAT IT HAS BEEN FULLY EXPLAINED TO THEM BY THEIR ATTORNEYS, THAT THEY FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS FULL AND BINDING EFFECT, THAT THE ONLY PROMISES MADE TO THEM TO INDUCE THEM TO SIGN THIS AGREEMENT ARE THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT THEY ARE SIGNING THIS AGREEMENT VOLUNTARILY.

IN WITNESS OF THIS AGREEMENT, the PARTIES and their respective counsel have approved and executed this AGREEMENT on the dates set forth opposite their respective signatures.

Dated: April, 2008	CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE MARION "SUGE" KNIGHT ESTATE
	By: Richard K. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr. Estate
Dated: April 2008	CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE DEATH ROW RECORDS, INC. HSTATE By: R. Fodd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Death Row Records, Inc. Estate
Dated: April, 2008	LYDIA HARRIS
	Lydia Harris
Dated: April, 2008	MICHAEL RAY HARRIS
	Michael Ray Harris

C:\DOCUME~1\VDORAN~1.LEC\LOCALS~1\Temp\notesE1EF34\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION_v3.DOC 11

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 33 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Main Document Page 32 of 51

2812421744

Sugar Creek

02:07.01 p.m.

05-02-2008

2 /3

such counterparts together shall constitute but one and the same instrument and agreement, Facsimile signatures may be used and shall be deemed to be original signatures for all purposes.

THE PARTIES TO THIS AGREEMENT FURTHER STATE THAT THEY HAVE CAREFULLY READ THIS AGREEMENT, THAT IT HAS BEEN FULLY EXPLAINED TO THEM BY THEIR ATTORNEYS, THAT THEY FULLY UNDERSTAND ITS FULL AND BINDING EFFECT, THAT THE ONLY PROMISES MADE TO THEM TO INDUCE THEM TO SIGN THIS AGREEMENT ARE THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT THEY ARE SIGNING THIS AGREEMENT VOLUNTARILY.

IN WITNESS OF THIS AGREEMENT, the PARTIES and their respective counsel have approved and executed this AGREEMENT on the dates set forth opposite their respective signatures.

Dated: April __ 2008

CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE MARION

"SUGE" KNIGHT ESTATE

Richard K. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr. Estate

Dated: April __, 2008

CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF THE DEATH

ROW RECORDS, INC. ESTATE

R. Todd Neilson, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Death Row Records, Inc. Estate

HRISTOPHER K OCOM dy Commission Expli

Macintosh HD: Users: nikki: Documents: QuickMail Pro Data: - Attachments: KNIGHT FINAL HARRI-1005076106:KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEM.DO

11

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 34 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 33 of 51

16 50	08 \$121	HP LASERJET FAX	81	89179411	р,
Dated	April <u>/5</u> 2008		CONQUEST/MEI	IA GROUP, LLC	
			Title: Chair Its Duly Authoriz *See of		- 9 <i>¥</i>
Dated	April, 2008		CHAPTER 7 TRU HARRIS ESTATE	STEE OF THE LYDIA	7
			By:	ver, Chapter 7 Trustee of th	 16
APPR	OVED AS TO F	ORM;		† !	
Dated	April 2008		KAYE SCHOLE	LLP	
			By:	bow, Esq. Todd Neilson as Chapter 11 ath Row Records, Inc.	
Dated:	April 2008		DANNING, GILL, LLP	DIAMOND & KOLLITZ,	
			By: Eric P. Israel, E Counsel to Rici 11 Trustee for 1	aq. ard K. Diamond as Chapter Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr.	•
Dated:	April/5, 2008		AKIN, GUMP, ST	raus, hauer & feld,	
			Peter J. Ourfei Counsel to Co	n, Esq. nquesi Média Group, LLC	
	l			•	

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 35 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 34 of 51

Dated: April, 2008	CONQUEST MEDIA GROUP, LLC By:
	Title:
•	Its Duly Authorized Representative
Dated: April, 2008	CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE OF THE LYDIA HARRIS ESTATE
	By: Helen Ryan Frazer, Chapter 7 Trustee of the Lydia Harris Estate
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
Dated: April 70, 2008	KAYE SCHOLER LLP
	By: Ronald L. Leibow, Esq. PETER HAVILANS Counsel to R. Todd Neilson as Chapter 11 Trustee for Death Row Records, Inc.
Dated: April 2008	By: Eric P. Israel, Esq. Counsel to Richard K. Diamond as Chapter 11 Trustee for Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr.
Dated: April, 2008	AKIN, GUMP, STRAUS, HAUER & FELD, LLP
	By: Peter J. Gurfein, Esq. Counsel to Conquest Media Group, LLC

C:\Documents and Settings\epi\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK49\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION_v3.DOC12

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 36 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc #5/02/2008 13:00 949851: Main Document PAGE 02/02

Dated: April__, 2008

WEINSTEIN, WEISS & ORDUBEGIAN LLP

By:_

Sharon Z. Weiss, Esq. Counsel to Lydia Harris

Dated: April 3 Q 2008

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT, LLP

By:___

Steven M. Goldberg, Counsel to Michael Ray Harris

Dated: April 2 2008

LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK K.

McCLELLAN

By:

Patrick K. McClellan.

Counsel to Helen Ryan Frazer, Chapter 7 Trustee of the Lydia Harris bankruptcy estate

C:\Documents and Settings\epi\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK49\KNIGHT FINAL HARRIS SETTLEMENT STIPULATION.DOC 13

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 37 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 36 of 51

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE AC	KNOWLEDGMENT
State of California County of > Angeles On April 21, 2008 before me, personally appeared Richar	C, Blair Wotary Public Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer A K, Dlamond Name(s) of Signer(s)
<u> </u>	who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. WITNESS my hand and official seal. Signature
and could prevent fraudulent removal and	it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document realtachment of this form to another document.
Description of Attached Document	
Title or Type of Document:	
Document Date:	
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)	
Signer's Name: Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other: Signer Is Representing:	☐ Individual ☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General ☐ Attorney in Fact ☐ OF SIGNER

© 2007 National Notary Association • 9350 De Soto Ave., P.O. Box 2402 • Chatsworth, CA 91313-2402 • www.NationalNotary.org | Item #5907 | Reorder: Call Toll-Free 1-800-876-6827

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 37 of 51

State of California	1
State of Gamornia	ss.
County of LOS Charles	_
On (Dul) (Day) before me, _	Micheria Wina Llorac
On April 2017 Door before me, personally appeared R. Tocks	Name and Title of Officer (e.g., "Jene Doe, Notary Public")
personally appeared K. IOCICA I	Name(s) of Signer(s)
	Spersonally known to me
	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory
	evidence
	to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are
-	subscribed to the within instrument and
VICTORIA LYNN DORAN	acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed
Commission # 1513196	the same in his/her/their authorized
Notary Public - Collifornia Los Angeles County	capacity(ies), and that by his/her/thei signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), o
My Comm. Expires Sep 29, 2008	the entity upon behalf of which the person(s
	acted, executed the instrument.
	WITNESS my hapel and official seal.
	Stonisture of Notary Public
	2) Institute of Motion A Lange
	V
OP1	TONAL
	ove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent nent of this form to another document.
Description of Attached Document	_
Title or Type of Document: Acquired Document Date:	- Mr. J. O Malean
Title or Type of Document:	-) 1 cosace 15
Document Date:	Number of Pages:
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:	
Capacity(les) Claimed by Signer	
Signer's Name: R. Toold NEU	30 C
	OF SIGNER
□ Individual	Top of thumb here
☐ Individual ☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):	lop of Burno hare
□ Corporate Officer — Title(s):□ Partner — □ Limited □ General	lop of Burno here
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General ☐ Attorney-in-Fact	top of Burno here
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s):	top of Burno here
□ Corporate Officer — Title(s): □ Partner — □ Limited □ General □ Attorney-in-Fact ▼ Trustee □ Guardian or Conservator	top of Burno here
☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General ☐ Attorney-in-Fact ☑ Trustee	top of flumb here

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 39 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 38 of 51

2812421744

Sugar Creek

02:07:16 p.m. 05-02-2008

3/3

State of Texas State of Texas Before me, Charlistopher & Dignary and State of Texas County of fort ben of Can be	Before me, Charletophe (& Diconno on this day personally appeared LYDIA HARRIS
Common M. Common 31, 2011	to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein expressed.
	Given under my hand and seal of office this A day of May hand A.D., 2008 Chicken H & C. Sprake of Houseing Officer
OPTIONAL Though the information in this section is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent flaudulent removal and realisachment of this form to another document.	OPTIONAL RICHTHUMGERITA Taw, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the OFSIGNER Teatlachment of this form to another document. Top of thumb here
Tille or Type of Document: Place ment and Motual Release	and Motual Release
Document Date: May 2, 2008	Number of Pages: 14
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:	

Chair of Oallings In	
State of California	
County of Maun	
a foul 11 2008	James and Tilly of Office fold, "Jame Doe, Notary Public" Ray Name (2) of Signer(3)
Date Delore me,	Name and Title of Officer/Fid., "Jane Doe, Notary Public")
personally appeared	il Ray Hairs
	/ Name(s) of Signer(s)
porture for the second	
	回 personally known to me
	☑ (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence)
	E (or provide to the ort the basis of calculation) of the basis of
-	to be the person(s) whose name(s) Is/are subscribed to the
JOHANNA DANIELLE HOFFMANN Commission # 1683080	within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized
Notary Public - Catifornia	capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the
Alameda County My Comm. Expires Jul 22, 2010	instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of
my contain expressor 22, 2010	which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.
	WITNESS my hand and official seal.
Place Notary Seal Above	Haman
	Signature of Notary Public
•	PTIONAL
and could prevent fraudulent removal a	w, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document nd reattachment of this form to another document.
Description of Attached Document	
Title or Type of Document:Agus	ument and Mutnak Klicare
Document Date: ADVI 11, 2008	ument and Mutnal Release Number of Pages:
0:4. /	Number of Pages:
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: KILMANA	K. Damond, R. Toda NUISON, Mydia Hallo,
(mgreat Media Brossp., Helen Ryan)	mozer Ronald L. heibow, Eric P. Brail, Peter J. O.
Capacity(les) Claimed by Signer(s)	Shaim 2
Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s) Signer's Name: Mighaul Ray Haus	Signer's Name:
(1) Individual (2)	LI Individual
Corporate Officer — Title(s):	Corporate Officer — Title(s):
☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General RIGHT THUME OF SIGNE	C Attornoy in Foot
☐ Trustee Too'n thum	here Top of thumb here
☐ Guardian or Conservator	☐ Guardian or Conservator
Other:	□ Other:
	388 I
Cianar la Dansara Nasa	
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:

		:	
CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE AC			
State of California	l	<u> </u> 	
County of Los Angole	<u>s</u>	}	*
on 115108 before me, S	am Jazayesi	dotary	Public
personally appeared Alvin Cr.	REDWA Name(s) of Styres(s)	o Read the United	
	who proved to me on the	basis of satisfa	ictory evidence t
1	be the person(s) whose within instrument and	name(s) is/aps	subscribed to the
3 and a second	he/sife/they executed the	same in his/bi	r/their authorize
SAM JAZAYERI COMM. #17889000 09 COMM. #17889000 09 COMM. #17889000 09 COMM. #1789000 09 COMM. #1789000 09	capacity(iss), and that by instrument the person(s) which the person(s) acts) or the entity	upon behalt d
My Commit. Epikras Den. 2, 8011	I certify under PENALT of the State of California true and correct.	OF PERJUR	Y under the law ping paragraph i
	WITNESS my hand and	official seal.	
Place Notary Besi Above	Signature		<u> </u>
	PTIONAL		
Though the information below is not required by law and could prevent fraudulent removal an	it. It may prove valuable to persons of reattechment of this form to anoti	relying on the doc her document.	ument
Description of Attached Document		7	
Title or Type of Document: A 100 ment	and Metual	Relea	ue
Document Date: 4/(5/08	Number o	Peges:	14
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:			
Capacity(les) Claimed by Signer(s)		į	
Signer's Name:	Signer's Name:		
□ Individual □ Corporate Officer Title(s):	☐ Individual ☐ Corporate Officer —	Title (=)	
☐ Partour — ☐ Limited ☐ Consent	PRODUCTION PROCESS		
Attorsey in Fact Trustee	Marie I	ļ	the 4st feltilatipalist by Statility Top of thumb here
☐ Guartian or Conservator	☐ Trustee ☐ Guardian or Conserv	vator	
□ Other	Other:		
Signer is Representing:	Signer is Representing	:	
		+	

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 42 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 41 of 51

<u>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</u>

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	

On May 1, 2008 before me, Sharon A. Woodard, Notary Public, personally appeared Helen Ryan Frazer, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their-signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

SHARON A. WOODARD
Commission # 1708744
Notory Public - Colifornia
Los Angeles County
MyComm. Popes Dec 5, 2010

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

(Scal)

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 43 of 50

EXHIBIT 17

EXHIBIT 17

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 43 of 51

AGREEMENT REGARDING RECOVERY OF ASSETS FROM DEATH ROW RECORDS, INC. AND MARION "SUGE" KNIGHT CHAPTER 11 CASES

This agreement is entered into between Helen Ryan Frazer, Chapter 7 Trustee of the bankruptcy estate of Lydia E. Harris ("Trustee"), Lydia E. Harris, Debtor ("Lydia"), Michael Ray Harris ("Michael") and Conquest Media Group, LLC ("Conquest").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Lydia Harris ("Lydia") filed a Chapter 7 petition in the Central District of California on May 20, 1996 (SVG96-1552 1-GM). Helen Ryan Frazer ("Trustee Frazer") was the Chapter 7 Trustee in the case. Lydia's case was closed on December 15, 1999 without the administration of any assets; and

WHEREAS Lydia filed a complaint in Los Angeles County Superior Court on February 26, 2002, case number BC 268857, asserting various claims for relief against Death Row Records, Inc. ("DRR"), Marion "Suge" Knight, Jr., ("Knight") and others; and

WHEREAS on March 9, 2005 Lydia was awarded a judgment in the Superior Court case in the amount of \$107,000,000.00 against DRR and Knight; and

WHEREAS, Michael Ray Harris ("Michael"), the Debtor's ex-husband, asserts a community property interest in the Judgment and any proceeds flowing therefrom; and

WHEREAS, on April 4, 2006 DRR and Knight filed Voluntary Petitions under Chapter 11 on or about April 4, 2006; and

WHEREAS Lydia and Michael each filed proofs of claim in the DRR and Knight chapter 11 cases based on the respective interest in the Judgment (the "Proofs of Claim"), which Proofs of Claim Lydia and Michael states were sold and assigned to Conquest Media Group, LLC

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 45 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 51

on or about March 15, 2007 (the "Assignment"); and

WHEREAS by Order filed August 21, 2007, Lydia's case was re-opened; and WHEREAS, Trustee Frazer contends that the Judgment is based on claims arising prior to Lydia's bankruptcy case and which belong to Lydia's bankruptcy estate, which contention is disputed by Lydia, Michael and Conquest; and

WHEREAS Trustee Frazer contends that the purported assignment of the Judgment by Lydia to Conquest is invalid and/or void, which contention is disputed by Lydia, Michael and Conquest; and

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2008 the parties hereto entered into a separate settlement proposal with the trustees of the DRR and Knight Chapter 11 cases which settlement proposal, if approved, will give the parties to this agreement an allowed claim (the "Harris Allowed Claim") in the DRR and Knight Chapter 11 cases on terms more specifically provided for in that settlement proposal (the "Chapter 11 Settlement"); and

WHEREAS the within agreement is reached to resolve the dispute between the parties as to their respective rights in the judgment and any recovery resulting therefrom.

AGREEMENT

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants contained herein, it is agreed as follows by the Parties, subject to the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court in case SVG96-1552 1-GM, that:

1. In the event, and only in the event, the Chapter 11 Settlement is finalized and approved by the court in both the DRR and Knight estates, then Trustee Frazer agrees to look solely to distributions, if any, from the DRR and/or Knight bankruptcy estates for satisfaction of Lydia Harris Chapter 7 estate's interest in the Judgment, as provided for in this Agreement.

2

622560) v1

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 46 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 45 of 51

- 2. The Parties agree that, until the first to occur of either (i) all allowed claims, including allowed administrative claims, in the Lydia Harris chapter 7 case are paid in full, or (ii) the total of Proceeds paid to Helen Ryan Frazer Trustee pursuant to this agreement reaches \$500,000, from the Proceeds distributed from the Knight and/or DRR cases on account of the Harris Allowed Claim the DRR and/or Knight Trustees (or their authorized agent, as the case may be), shall pay directly to Trustee Frazer, the following:
 - a) 80% of the first \$100,000 to be distributed on account of the Harris Allowed Claim;
 - b) 70% of the second \$100,000 to be distributed on account of the Harris Allowed Claim;
 - c) 60% of the third \$100,000 to be distributed on account of the Harris Allowed Claim;
 - d) 50% of any and all additional funds to be distributed on account of the Harris Allowed Claim.
 - e) Trustee Frazer shall account to Lydia Harris, Michael Harris, and Conquest upon receipt of each distribution from the Trustee, which accounting shall set forth the amount received; the application of such funds, and the total remaining claims (including administrative claims) to be paid in the Lydia Harris Chapter 7 estate.
 - f) Trustee Frazer shall notify the DRR and Knight estates when all amounts due hereunder have been received by the Lydia Harris Chapter 7 estate, and shall thereafter direct that further payments from the DRR estate of the Knight estate be paid to the holder of the Harris Allowed Claims under the Chapter 11 Settlement. Thereafter, any distributions paid to Trustee Frazer shall be held in trust by Trustee Frazer in favor of, and promptly remitted by Trustee Frazer to, the holder of the Harris Allowed Claims.
 - The parties agree that the claims of Wasserman, Comden, Casselman & Pearson ("Wassermann Firm") and Mark Friedman ("Friedman") are post petition obligations in the Lydia Harris Chapter 7 case and the sole responsibility of Lydia Harris, not her bankruptcy estate. Lydia Harris agrees to hold the Trustee and her bankruptcy estate harmless from any diminution in payments to her estate that occur because of disbursements made from the Knight and/or DRR Chapter 11 cases on account of Proofs of Claims filed therein by Wasserman and/or Friedman.

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 47 of 50

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 46 of 51

- 3. Effective upon the entry of a final order approving the Chapter 11 Settlement in both the DRR and Knight chapter 11 cases that is not subject to stay pending appeal, and upon the entry of a final order approving this Agreement that is not subject to stay pending appeal. Trustee Frazer, on behalf of herself, her agents, employees, attorneys, officers and directors hereby releases and discharges Lydia E. Harris, Michael Ray Harris and Conquest Media Group, LLC, their respective agents, employees, attorneys, officers and directors (in their capacities as representatives of the Lydia Harris, Michael Ray Harris and/or Conquest Media Group, LLC) from any and all claims, counterclaims, rights, demands, costs, damages, losses, liabilities, attorneys' fees, actions and causes of action whatsoever, whether known or unknown, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, material, immaterial, disputed, undisputed, legal or equitable, which the Trustee now has or hereafter may have against Lydia Harris, Michael Ray Harris and/or Conquest Media Group, LLC, arising from or related to this chapter 7 proceeding and the Judgment.
- 4. Effective upon the entry of a final order approving the Chapter 11 Settlement in both the DRR and Knight chapter 11 cases that is not subject to stay pending appeal, and upon the entry of a final order approving this Agreement that is not subject to stay pending appeal. Lydia Harris, Michael Ray Harris and Conquest Media Group, LLC, by and on behalf of themselves, their agents, employees, attorneys, officers and directors hereby release and discharge Helen Ryan Frazer Trustee and her respective agents, employees, attorneys, officers and directors (in their capacities as representatives of the Trustee) from any and all claims, counterclaims, rights, demands, costs, damages, losses, liabilities, attorneys' fees, actions and causes of action whatsoever, whether known or unknown, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, material, immaterial, disputed, undisputed, legal or equitable, which they now have or hereafter may have against the Trustee arising from or related to this chapter 7 proceeding and the Judgment.

- 5. Each of the Parties further understands that California Civil Code section 1542 provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

- 6. Each of the Parties hereto expressly waives the provisions and protections of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Each of the Parties hereto acknowledges that it has been advised by counsel as to the significance of a waiver of Section 1542 as it applies to unknown claims, and that the waivers herein are made knowingly and voluntarily. Each of the Parties hereto further acknowledges that, to the extent it has not fully investigated or does not know about any facts, events or circumstances occurring at any time in the past through the Effective Date of this Agreement, those unknown facts, events and circumstances, and in particular, any and all unknown claims arising out of them, are hereby expressly waived and released.
- 7. Lydia, Michael and Conquest agree that they shall not use the Harris Allowed Claim as a credit in any way in an effort to acquire the assets of the DRR and/or Knight bankruptcy estates without Trustee Frazer's prior written consent.
- 8. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this settlement. If either party becomes involved in further legal proceedings against the other to enforce such parties' respective rights or interests under this Agreement, the prevailing party will be entitled to receive reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in connection with any such proceeding from the other party.
- 9. This agreement, including all covenants and agreements contained herein, shall inure to and be binding upon the heirs, representatives, executors, successors and assigns of

Case 1:96-bk-15521-GM Doc 100 Filed 05/05/08 Entered 05/07/08 13:00:41 Desc Main Document Page 48 of 51

the respective partles.

- 10. This Agreement embodies the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the transactions contemplated hereby.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreement cannot be waived except by a written agreement of the party against whom a waiver shall be asserted.
- 12. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California. The Parties hereby expressly consent to the personal jurisdiction of the District Court of California, Central District of California.
 - 13. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement.
- 14. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- 15. Each individual signing this agreement on behalf of an entity represents and warrants that he is authorized to sign this agreement by such.

Dated:	LYDIA E. HARRIS
Dated:	MICHAEL RAY HARRIS
Dated: 5-1-08	CONQUEST MEDIA GROUP, LLC By: By:
Dated: 5/2/8	HELEN RYAN FRAZER Chapter 7 Trustee
422 44A (tol	6

Case 1:13-ap-01035-MT Doc 1-6 Filed 02/15/13 Entered 02/15/13 10:29:31 Desc Exhibit Exhibits 15 to 17 Page 50 of 50

APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
Dated:	WEINSTEIN, WEISS & ORDUBEGIAN, LLP
	By: SHARON Z. WEISS Attorneys for Lydia Harris
Dated:	RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
	By: STEVEN M. GOLDBERG Attorneys for Michael Ray Harris
Dated: 1/2/08	By PETER J. GURFEIN Attorneys for Conquest Media Group, LLC
Dated: 5-2-08	By: PATRICK K. McCLELLAN Attorney for Helen Ryan Frazer, Trustee